# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Ana M. Herrera,<sup>a</sup>† Sylvain Bernès<sup>b</sup>\* and Delia López<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Centro de Investigaciones en Materiales y Metalurgia, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Carretera Pachuca-Tulancingo Km. 4.5, 42074 Pachuca, Hgo., Mexico, <sup>b</sup>Centro de Química, Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Blvd. 14 sur 6303, Col. San Manuel, 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico, and <sup>c</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Boulevard 14 Sur, Col. San Manuel, 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico

† Current address: Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Boulevard 14 Sur, Col. San Manuel, 72570 Puebla, Pue., Mexico

Correspondence e-mail: sylvain@eros.pquim.unam.mx

### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 296 KMean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.052 wR factor = 0.151 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# Allyl 4-hydroxybenzoate

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{10}H_{10}O_3$ , has two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. In one of these molecules, the allyl group is disordered as a consequence of a degree of free rotation of *ca* 15° around the C–C  $\sigma$  bond of the allyl group.

## Comment

We are currently interested in the synthesis of allyl monomers (López-V *et al.*, 1995; López-V *et al.*, 1997). Some of them are potential precursors for organic glasses, like CR-39, which is used in the optical industry, or glass-like plastics (Dowbenko, 2003). Other allyl monomers (Schildknecht, 1973) deserve commercial interest as crosslinking agents, or as heat-resistant materials. Nowadays, various studies are carried out on allyl monomers due to their peculiar properties (Matsumoto *et al.*, 2000). The title compound, (I), is one of these compounds, including two functionalities, *viz.* hydroxy and allyl groups (Kusanagi *et al.*, 1977; Takahashi *et al.*, 1978). Because of the hydroxy group, (I) can easily be functionalized, to obtain multiallyl monomers (López-V & Herrera, 2003).



The title compound was synthesized by a classical condensation reaction (see Experimental) and its X-ray structure determined (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The asymmetric unit contains two independent molecules, one of which possesses an allyl group disordered over two positions. The 4-hydroxybenzoate moiety displays the expected planar geometry, very close to that reported for methyl and ethyl p-hydroxybenzoate (Xianti, 1983, 1986). The allyl moiety is mainly characterized by the non-conjugated  $\pi$  bond, C1=C2 (corresponding bonds for the disordered molecule: C111=C121 and C112=C122) with bond lengths in the range 1.280 (4) to 1.32 (3) Å. The disorder in one molecule arises from a degree of free rotation around the  $\sigma$  bonds C2–C3 (corresponding bonds in the second molecule: C121-C13 and C122-C13) and C3-O1 (corresponding bond in the second molecule: C13-O11). This flexibility is reflected in the following torsion angles: the absolute value for  $\tau_1 = C1 = C2 - C3 - O1$  and corresponding angles in the second molecule span the range 121.8 (3) to 136.7 (18)°; the absolute value for  $\tau_2 = C2 - C3 - O1 - C4$  and corresponding angles in the second molecule are in the range

Received 3 September 2003 Accepted 9 September 2003 Online 24 September 2003



### Figure 1

The structure of the asymmetric unit of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 25% probability level. Disordered components for the allyl group in the top molecule are displayed using different bond styles.



### Figure 2

 $\tau_1-\tau_2$  correlation diagram (Allen & Motherwell, 2002) for 821 X-ray structures [CSD Version 5.24 updated April 2003 (Allen, 2002)], including an  $X-Y-CH_2-CH$ —CH<sub>2</sub> fragment, where X and Y are any atom.  $\tau_1$  is the absolute value of torsion angle CH<sub>2</sub>—CH–CH<sub>2</sub>–Y while  $\tau_2$  corresponds to the absolute value of CH–CH<sub>2</sub>–Y–X. The conformation adopted by (I) is close to the maximum at  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2 = 120$ , 180 °.

160.8 (8)–174.6 (8)°. In other words, the torsional flexibility of the allyl moiety in (I) is *ca* 15°. This conformation adopted by the allyl ester group in (I) is not uncommon and is consistent with numerous reported structures, including a  $X-Y-CH_2-CH=CH_2$  fragment, where X and Y are any atom (Fig. 2). At room temperature, this fluxional behaviour is probably retained in solution, in agreement with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (see



Figure 3

The hydrogen-bonding scheme observed in (I). H atoms not involved in the network have been omitted for clarity, as has the C112/C122 disordered component of the allyl group. The bottom chain is based on C1-containing molecules, while the top chain is formed using C111-containing molecules.

*Experimental*): for the five allyl protons, multiplets are observed rather than an *AMX* pattern expected for an allyl group with hindered rotation about its  $\sigma$  bonds. As expected, the hydroxy and carbonyl groups in (I) are involved in a hydrogen-bonding network (Table 2) through classical C=O···H-O bonds. Each independent molecule forms independent one-dimensional chains running along the *b* axis (Fig. 3). Significant  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between the benzene rings are avoided by steric hindrance of the allyl moieties, resulting in well separated chains without stacking interactions in the crystal.

## Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by heating, at reflux for 24 h, a solution of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid (2 g, 15 mmol) and sulfuric acid (5 drops) in a large excess of allyl alcohol. After cooling, the excess of allyl alcohol was distilled under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was washed with a 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, then with water, and finally recrystallized from ethanol/water (2:3), giving 2.26 g (12 mmol, 88% yield) of colorless thin crystals, m.p. 370 K. Analysis: found C 67.3%, H 5.7%, O 27.0%. Calcd. for  $C_{10}H_{10}O_3$ : C 67.4%, H 5.7%, O 26.9%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m. relative to TMS): 4.80 (*m*, *J* = 5.6, 2.8 Hz, 2H, O–CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.27 (*m*, *J* = 2.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H, C—CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.42 (*m*, *J* = 2.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H, C—CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.04 (*m*, *J* = 5.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>—C–H), 6.61 (*s*, 1H, OH), 6.88 (*d*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.99 (*d*, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ph).

## Crystal data

$C_{10}H_{10}O_3$	$D_x = 1.287 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 178.18$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 78
a = 12.6682 (12)  Å	reflections
b = 13.2891 (10)  Å	$\theta = 4.7 - 12.4^{\circ}$
c = 11.5196 (10)  Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 108.450 \ (7)^{\circ}$	T = 296 (1)  K
$V = 1839.6 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	Irregular, colorless
Z = 8	$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

# organic papers

Data collection

Bruker P4 diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: none
5486 measured reflections
3231 independent reflections
1854 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$   $wR(F^2) = 0.151$  S = 1.033231 reflections 255 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\max} = 25.0^{\circ} \\ h = -14 \rightarrow 15 \\ k = -15 \rightarrow 1 \\ l = -13 \rightarrow 5 \\ 3 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{every 97 reflections} \\ \text{intensity decay: 1\%} \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0739P)^2 \\ &+ 0.089P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.44 \ e^{\Lambda^{-3}} \\ \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.17 \ e^{\Lambda^{-3}} \\ Extinction \ correction: \\ SHELXTL-Plus \\ Extinction \ coefficient: 0.0063 \ (14) \end{split}$$

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å).

O1-C4	1.328 (3)	O11-C13	1.456 (3)
O1-C3	1.460 (3)	O12-C14	1.215 (3)
O2-C4	1.214 (3)	O13-C18	1.360 (3)
O3-C8	1.358 (3)	C111-C121	1.32 (3)
C1-C2	1.280 (4)	C121-C13	1.469 (15)
C2-C3	1.420 (4)	C112-C122	1.28 (3)
C4-C5	1.473 (3)	C122-C13	1.506 (15)
O11-C14	1.334 (3)	C14-C15	1.467 (3)
			. ,

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{matrix} O3-H3\cdots O2^i\\ O13-H13\cdots O12^{ii} \end{matrix}$	0.84	1.88	2.716 (2)	171
	0.77	2.00	2.763 (2)	173

Symmetry codes: (i)  $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii)  $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ .

Atoms C111 and C121 were found to be disordered with, respectively, C112 and C122. Site-occupancy factors (SOFs) were refined in two parts, with the sum of the SOFs for the two disordered components constrained to 1, and converged to 0.50 (2). H atoms for the hydroxyl groups, H3 and H13, were found in difference maps, while other H atoms were placed at idealized positions. All H atoms were treated as riding atoms, with C–H distances constrained to 0.93 (aromatic CH, allyl CH and CH<sub>2</sub>) or 0.97 Å (methylene CH<sub>2</sub>), and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm parent C})$ . Hydroxyl groups were constrained using O–H distances determined from difference maps and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5 U_{\rm eq}({\rm parent O})$ .

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: XSCANS; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL-Plus; molecular graphics: SHELXTL-Plus; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL-Plus.

## References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Allen, F. H. & Motherwell, W. D. S. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 407-422.
- Dowbenko, R. (2003). Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. New-York: Wiley InterScience.
- Kusanagi, H., Tadokoro, H., Chatani, Y. & Suehiro, K. (1977). Macromolecules, 10, 405–413.
- López-V, D., Esparza, R. & Burillo, G. (1995). Radiat. Phys. Chem. 45, 637-647.
- López-V, D. & Herrera, A. M. (2003). Unpublished results.
- López-V, D., Plata, P., Burillo G. & Medina, C. (1997). Radiat. Phys. Chem. 50, 171–173.
- Matsumoto, A., Ichiryu, Y., Aota, H., Shiraki, K. & Henmi, A. (2000). Macromol. Rapid Commun. 21, 1248–1252.
- Schildknecht, C. E. (1973). Allyl Compounds and their Polymers (Including Polyolefins). New-York: Wiley InterScience.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Release 5.10. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). XSCANS. Version 2.21. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Takahashi, Y., Kurumizawa, T., Kusanagi, H. & Tadokoro, H. (1978). J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys. 16, 1989–2003.
- Xianti, L. (1983). Jiegou Huaxue (J. Struct. Chem.), 2, 213-217.
- Xianti, L. (1986). Jiegou Huaxue (J. Struct. Chem.), 5, 281-286.